arXiv:1209.4347v1 [math.DG] 19 Sep 2012

Short-time behaviour of a modified Laplacian coflow of G_2-structures

Sergey Grigorian
Simons Center for Geometry and Physics
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, NY 11794
USA

September 20, 2012

Abstract

We modify the Laplacian coflow of co-closed G_2-structures - $\frac{d}{dt}\psi = \Delta\psi$ where ψ is the closed dual 4-form of a G_2-structure φ. The modified flow is now parabolic in the direction of closed forms upto diffeomorphisms. We then prove short time existence and uniqueness of solutions to the modified flow.

1 Introduction

Ever since the Ricci flow has been introduced by Richard Hamilton [13], geometric flows have played an important role in the study of geometric structures. The general idea is to begin with some general geometric structure on a manifold and then use some flow to obtain a more special structure. In the study of G_2-structures on 7-dimensional manifolds, an important question is under which conditions is it possible to obtain a torsion-free G_2-structure (which corresponds to manifolds with holonomy contained in G_2) from a G_2-structure with some other torsion class. To do this, one can either attempt a non-infinitesimal deformation of the G_2-structure [9, 10, 17], or one can try to construct a flow which interpolate between different torsion classes. The first such flow has been proposed by Bryant [3] - if we start with a closed G_2-structure, that is one for which the defining 3-form φ satisfies

$$d\varphi = 0$$

then the Laplacian $\Delta\varphi = dd^{*}\varphi$ is an exact form, and hence Laplacian flow of φ

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \Delta_{\varphi}\varphi \tag{1.1}$$

preserves the cohomology class, and we get a flow of closed G_2-structures within the same cohomology class. Here Δ_{φ} denotes the Hodge Laplacian with respect to the metric g_{φ} associated to the G_2-structure φ.

Suppose now M is a compact 7-dimensional manifold with a G_2-structure φ. It is then possible to interpret the flow (1.1) as a gradient flow of the volume functional V [4]

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{1}{7}\int_{M}\varphi \wedge *\varphi \tag{1.2}$$

Then, the functional V increases mononically along this flow. As shown by Hitchin [14], for a closed G_2-structure φ, V attains a critical point within the fixed cohomology class of φ whenever $d * \varphi = 0$, that is, if and only if φ defines a torsion-free G_2-structure. Therefore, it is to be expected that if a long-time smooth solution to (1.1) exists, then it should converge to a torsion-free G_2-structure. In [4, 22] it is shown that after applying a version of the DeTurck Trick (named after DeTurck's proof of short time existence and uniqueness of Ricci flow solutions [6]), the flow (1.1) can be related to a modified flow that is parabolic along closed forms. This was then used to prove short time existence and uniqueness of solutions. Moreover, in [22], it was shown that if the initial closed G_2-structure φ_0 is near a torsion-free structure φ_1 then the flow (1.1) converges to a torsion-free G_2-structure φ_∞ which is related to φ_1 via a diffeomorphism.

Other flows of G_2-structures have also been proposed. In [18], Karigiannis studied the properties of general flows of φ by an arbitrary 3-form. In [20, 21], Weiss and Witt made significant progress while studying gradient flows of Dirichlet-type functionals for G_2-structures. They have obtained short-time existence and uniqueness results as well as long-time convergence to a torsion-free G_2-structure if the initial condition is sufficiently close to a torsion-free G_2-structure.

Most of the flows studied focused on flowing the 3-form φ. However, a G_2 -structure can also be defined by the dual 4-form $*\varphi$, which we will denote by ψ. It is then natural to consider the analogue of the Laplacian flow (1.1), but for the 4-form. Such a flow,

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \Delta_\psi \psi \tag{1.3}$$

named the Laplacian coflow of G_2-structures, has originally been proposed by Karigiannis, McKay and Tsui in [19]. Here Δ_ψ is the Hodge Laplacian defined by the metric g_ψ which is the metric associated to the G_2-structure defined by ψ. Note that in [19], there was a minus sign on the right hand side of (1.3). The flow (1.3) shares a number of properties with (1.1). In particular, if we start with ψ closed, that is, a co-closed G_2-structure, then (1.3) preserves the cohomology class of ψ. Thus we get a flow of co-closed G_2-structures. The volume functional can be restated in terms of ψ, and then it attains a critical point within the cohomology class of ψ whenever $d * \psi = d\varphi = 0$. The flow (1.3) can then also be interpreted as a gradient flow of the volume functional, and it is easy to see that the volume grows monotonically along this flow. While qualitatively some of the properties are similar to the Laplacian flow on 3-forms, the initial conditions are completely different - in (1.1) we start from a closed G_2-structure, while in (1.3), we start from a co-closed G_2-structure.

In this paper we study the analytical properties of the flow (1.3). It turns that despite the similarities with the 3-form flow (1.1), the 4-form flow cannot be related to a flow that is strictly parabolic in the direction of closed forms using diffeomorphisms. Therefore, we propose a modified version of (1.3), given by

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \Delta_\psi \psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\varphi\right) \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\operatorname{Tr} T$ is the trace of the full torsion tensor T of the G_2-structure defined by ψ, and A is a positive constant. The flow (1.4) still preserves the cohomology class of ψ and if $\operatorname{Tr} T$ is small enough in some sense, the volume functional grows along the flow. We then use the techniques from ([4]) to show short-time existence and uniqueness for this flow.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give a brief overview of the properties of G_2-structures and their torsion. In Section 3 we consider the deformations of G_2-structures in terms of deformations of the 4-form ψ, and in Section 4 we consider the properties of $\Delta_\psi \psi$, including its linearization. The modified flow (1.4) is then defined in Section 5, and in Section 6 we prove the short time existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.4). For that we adapt the techniques used by Bryant and Xu in [4] for the Laplacian flow of φ.

2 G_2-structures and torsion

The 14-dimensional group G_2 is the smallest of the five exceptional Lie groups and is closely related to the octonions. In particular, G_2 can be defined as the automorphism group of the octonion algebra. Taking the imaginary part of octonion multiplication of the imaginary octonions defines a vector cross product on $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ and the group that preserves the vector cross product is precisely G_2. A more detailed account of the relationship between octonions and G_2 can be found in [1, 8].The structure constants of the vector cross product define a 3-form on $\mathbb{R}^7$, hence G_2 can alternatively be defined as the subgroup of $GL\left(7, \mathbb{R}\right)$ that preserves a particular 3-form [16]. In general, given a n-dimensional manifold M, a G-structure on M for some Lie subgroup G of $GL\left(n, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is a reduction of the frame bundle F over M to a principal subbundle P with fibre G. A G_2-structure is then a reduction of the frame bundle on a 7-dimensional manifold M to a G_2 principal subbundle. It turns out that there is a 1-1 correspondence between G_2-structures on a 7-manifold and smooth 3-forms φ for which the 7-form-valued bilinear form B_φ as defined by (2.1) is positive definite (for more details, see [2] and the arXiv version of [15]).

$$B_\varphi\left(u, v\right) = \frac{1}{6}\left(u \lrcorner \varphi\right) \wedge \left(v \lrcorner \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi \tag{2.1}$$

Here the symbol $\lrcorner$ denotes contraction of a vector with the differential form:

$$\left(u \lrcorner \varphi\right)_{mn} = u^a \varphi_{amn}.$$

Note that we will also use this symbol for contractions of differential forms using the metric.

A smooth 3-form φ is said to be *positive* if B_φ is the tensor product of a positive-definite bilinear form and a nowhere-vanishing 7-form. In this case, it defines a unique metric g_φ and volume form vol such that for vectors u and v, the following holds

$$g_\varphi\left(u, v\right) \mathrm{vol} = \frac{1}{6}\left(u \lrcorner \varphi\right) \wedge \left(v \lrcorner \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi \tag{2.2}$$

In components we can rewrite this as

$$\left(g_\varphi\right)_{ab} = \left(\det s\right)^{-\frac{1}{9}} s_{ab} \text{ where } s_{ab} = \frac{1}{144}\varphi_{amn}\varphi_{bpq}\varphi_{rst}\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst}. \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst}$ is the alternating symbol with $\hat{\varepsilon}^{12\ldots7} = +1$. Following Joyce ([16]), we will adopt the following definition

Definition 2.1 *Let M be an oriented 7-manifold. The pair $\left(\varphi, g\right)$ for a positive 3-form φ and corresponding metric g defined by (2.2) will be referred to as a G_2-structure.*

Since a G_2-structure defines a metric and an orientation, it also defines a Hodge star. Thus we can construct another G_2-invariant object - the 4-form $*\varphi$. Since the Hodge star is defined by the metric, which in turn is defined by φ, the 4-form $*\varphi$ depends non-linearly on φ. For convenience we will usually denote $*\varphi$ by ψ. We can also write down various contraction identities for a G_2-structure $\left(\varphi, g\right)$ and its corresponding 4-form ψ [3, 11, 18].

Proposition 2.2 *The* 3*-form* φ *and the corresponding* 4*-form* ψ *satisfy the following identities:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{abc}\varphi_{mn}{}^{c} &= g_{am}g_{bn} - g_{an}g_{bm} + \psi_{abmn} && \text{(2.4a)}\\
\varphi_{abc}\psi_{mnp}{}^{c} &= 3\left(g_{a[m}\varphi_{np]b} - g_{b[m}\varphi_{np]a}\right) && \text{(2.4b)}\\
\psi_{abcd}\psi^{mnpq} &= 24\delta_{a}^{[m}\delta_{b}^{n}\delta_{c}^{p}\delta_{d}^{q]} + 72\psi_{[ab}{}^{[mn}\delta_{c}^{p}\delta_{d]}^{q]} - 16\varphi_{[abc}\varphi^{[mnp}\delta_{d]}^{q]} && \text{(2.4c)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $[m\ n\ p]$ *denotes antisymmetrization of indices and* δ_a^b *is the Kronecker delta, with* $\delta_b^a = 1$ *if* $a = b$ *and* 0 *otherwise.*

The above identities can be of course further contracted - the details can be found in [11, 18]. These identities and their contractions are crucial whenever any tensorial calculations involving φ and ψ have to be done.

For a general G-structure, the spaces of p-forms decompose according to irreducible representations of G. Given a G_2-structure, 2-forms split as $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2_7 \oplus \Lambda^2_{14}$, where

$$\Lambda^2_7 = \{\alpha\lrcorner\varphi\colon \text{ for a vector field } \alpha\}$$

and

$$\Lambda^2_{14} = \left\{\omega \in \Lambda^2\colon\ (\omega_{ab}) \in \mathfrak{g}_2\right\} = \left\{\omega \in \Lambda^2\colon\ \omega\lrcorner\varphi = 0\right\}.$$

The 3-forms split as $\Lambda^3 = \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_7 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$, where the one-dimensional component consists of forms proportional to φ, forms in the 7-dimensional component are defined by a vector field $\Lambda^3_7 = \{\alpha\lrcorner\psi\colon \text{ for a vector field } \alpha\}$, and forms in the 27-dimensional component are defined by traceless, symmetric matrices:

$$\Lambda^3_{27} = \left\{\chi \in \Lambda^3 : \chi_{abc} = \mathrm{i}_\varphi(h) = h^d_{[a}\varphi_{bc]d} \text{ for } h_{ab} \text{ traceless, symmetric}\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

By Hodge duality, similar decompositions exist for Λ^4 and Λ^5. In particular, we can define the Λ^4_{27} component as

$$\Lambda^4_{27} = \left\{\chi \in \Lambda^4 : \chi_{abcd} = *\mathrm{i}_\varphi(h) = -\frac{4}{3}h^e_{[a}\psi_{|e|bcd]} \text{ for } h_{ab} \text{ traceless, symmetric}\right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

A detailed description of these representations is given in [2, 3]. Also, formulae for projections of differential forms onto the various components are derived in detail in [9, 11, 18]. Note that it is sometimes convenient to consider the 1 and 27-dimensional components together - then in (2.5) and (2.6) we simply drop the condition for h to be traceless. The only difference is that for an arbitrary symmetric h,

$$*\,\mathrm{i}_\varphi(h) = -\frac{4}{3}\mathrm{i}_\psi(h) + \frac{1}{3}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\,\psi \tag{2.7}$$

Also define the operators π_1, π_7, π_{14} and π_{27} to be the projections of differential forms into the corresponding representations. Sometimes we will also use $\pi_{1\oplus 27}$ to denote the projection of 3-forms or 4-forms into $\Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$ or $\Lambda^4_1 \oplus \Lambda^4_{27}$ respectively. For convenience, when writing out projections of forms, we will sometimes just give the vector that defines the 7-dimensional component, the function that defines the 1-dimensional component or the symmetric 2-tensor that defines the $1 \oplus 27$ component whenever there is no ambiguity. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_7 \left(X \lrcorner \varphi\right)^a &= X^a \quad \pi_7 \left(X \lrcorner \psi\right)^a = X^a \\ \pi_{1\oplus 27} \left(\mathrm{i}_\varphi \left(h\right)\right)_{ab} &= h_{ab} \quad \pi_{1\oplus 27} \left(*\mathrm{i}_\varphi \left(h\right)\right)_{ab} = h_{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

The *intrinsic torsion* of a G_2-structure is defined by $\nabla\varphi$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection for the metric g that is defined by φ. Following [18], it is easy to see

$$\nabla\varphi \in \Lambda^1_7 \otimes \Lambda^3_7 \cong W. \tag{2.8}$$

Here we define W as the space $\Lambda^1_7 \otimes \Lambda^3_7$. Given (2.8), we can write

$$\nabla_a \varphi_{bcd} = T_a{}^e \psi_{ebcd} \tag{2.9}$$

where T_{ab} is the *full torsion tensor*. Similarly, we can also write

$$\nabla_a \psi_{bcde} = -4 T_{a[b} \varphi_{cde]} \tag{2.10}$$

We can also invert (2.9) to get an explicit expression for T

$$T_a{}^m = \frac{1}{24} \left(\nabla_a \varphi_{bcd}\right) \psi^{mbcd}. \tag{2.11}$$

This 2-tensor fully defines $\nabla\varphi$ since pointwise, it has 49 components and the space W is also 49-dimensional (pointwise). In general we can split T_{ab} according to representations of G_2 into *torsion components*:

$$T = \tau_1 g + \tau_7 \lrcorner \varphi + \tau_{14} + \tau_{27} \tag{2.12}$$

where τ_1 is a function, and gives the **1** component of T. We also have τ_7, which is a 1-form and hence gives the **7** component, and, $\tau_{14} \in \Lambda^2_{14}$ gives the **14** component and τ_{27} is traceless symmetric, giving the **27** component. Hence we can split W as

$$W = W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27}. \tag{2.13}$$

As it was originally shown by Fernández and Gray [7], there are in fact a total of 16 torsion classes of G_2-structures that arise as the subsets of W to which $\nabla\varphi$ belongs. Moreover, as shown in [18], the torsion components τ_i relate directly to the expression for $d\varphi$ and $d\psi$. In fact, in our notation,

$$d\varphi = 4\tau_1 \psi - 3\tau_7 \wedge \varphi - 3 * \mathrm{i}_\varphi \left(\tau_{27}\right) \tag{2.14a}$$

$$d\psi = -4\tau_7 \wedge \psi - 2 * \tau_{14}. \tag{2.14b}$$

Note that in the literature ([3, 5], for example) a slightly different convention for torsion components is sometimes used. Our τ_1 then corresponds to $\frac{1}{4}\tau_0$, τ_7 corresponds to $-\tau_1$ in their notation, $\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\tau_{27}\right)$ corresponds to $-\frac{1}{3}\tau_3$ and τ_{14} corresponds to $\frac{1}{2}\tau_2$. Similarly, our torsion classes $W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27}$ correspond to $W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$.

An important special case is when the G_2-structure is said to be torsion-free, that is, $T = 0$. This is equivalent to $\nabla\varphi = 0$ and also equivalent, by Fernández and Gray, to $d\varphi = d\psi = 0$. Moreover, a G_2-structure is torsion-free if and only if the holonomy of the corresponding metric is contained in G_2 [16]. The holonomy group is then precisely equal to G_2 if and only if the fundamental group π_1 is finite.

If $d\varphi = 0$, then we say φ defines a *closed* G_2-structure. Each of the torsion components in (2.14a) has to vanish separately, so τ_1, τ_7 and τ_{27} are all zero, and the only non-zero torsion component remaining is τ_{14}. This class of G_2-structures has been important in the study of Laplacian flows of φ [3, 4, 22]. If instead, $d\psi = 0$, then we say that we have a *co-closed* G_2-structure. In this case, τ_7 and τ_{14} vanish in (2.14b) and we are left with τ_1 and τ_{27} components. In particular, the torsion tensor T_{ab} is now symmetric. In this paper we will mostly be concerned with co-closed G_2-structures.

We will also require a number of differential identities for differential forms on manifolds with a co-closed G_2-structure. In many ways this is the explicit version of Bryant's exterior derivative identities, but these identities will be useful for us later on.

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose we have a co-closed G_2-structure on a manifold M with 3-form φ and dual 4-form ψ. Let $\chi \in \Lambda^3$ be given by*

$$\chi = X \lrcorner \psi + 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi (h) \tag{2.15}$$

then, the co-differential $d^*\chi$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(d^*\chi)_{bc} &= -\nabla^r h_{rm}\varphi^m{}_{bc} - 2\nabla_m h_{n[b}\varphi^{mn}{}_{c]} + \nabla_m X_n \psi^{mn}{}_{bc} && \text{(2.16a)}\\
&\quad - (\mathrm{Tr}\, T)\, X^a \varphi_{abc} + T_{mn}X^n\varphi^m{}_{bc} + 2X_m T_{n[b}\varphi^{mn}{}_{c]} - T^m{}_n h^{np}\psi_{mnbc} &&\\
(\pi_7 d^*\chi)_a &= \frac{2}{3}\bigg(\nabla_b X_c \varphi_a{}^{bc} - \nabla^b h_{ba} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_a \mathrm{Tr}\, h && \text{(2.16b)}\\
&\quad - X_a \mathrm{Tr}\, T + T_{ab}X^b - \varphi_{abc}T^b{}_d h^{dc}\bigg) &&
\end{aligned}$$

The type decomposition of the exterior derivative $d\chi$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 d\chi &= \frac{4}{7}\left(\nabla_a X^a + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\, T\, \mathrm{Tr}\, h - \frac{1}{2}T_{ab}h^{ab}\right) && \text{(2.17a)}\\
\pi_7 d\chi &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_a \mathrm{Tr}\, h - \nabla^b h_{ba} - \nabla_b X_c \varphi_a{}^{bc} - 2T_{ab}X^b\right) \wedge \varphi && \text{(2.17b)}\\
(\pi_{1\oplus 27} d\chi)_{ab} &= 3\bigg(-\nabla_{(a}X_{b)} + \nabla_m h_{n(a}\varphi^{mn}{}_{b)} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\nabla_m X^m\right) g_{ab} && \text{(2.17c)}\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{amn}\varphi_{bpq}T^{mp}h^{nq} + T_{m(a}h_{b)}{}^m - \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Tr}\, h\right) T_{ab} &&\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Tr}\, T\right) h_{ab} - \frac{1}{6}g_{ab}\left(\mathrm{Tr}\, T\right)\left(\mathrm{Tr}\, h\right) + \frac{1}{6}g_{ab}\left(h_{mn}T^{mn}\right)\bigg) &&
\end{aligned}$$

Also, up to the torsion terms, the Λ^3_1 and Λ^3_7 components of $dd^*\chi$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 dd^*\chi &= -\frac{2}{7}\left(\nabla_a\nabla_b h^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 \mathrm{Tr}\, h\right) + \textit{torsion terms} && \text{(2.18a)}\\
(\pi_7 dd^*\chi)_a &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_a\nabla_m X^m - \nabla^2 X_a - \nabla_m\nabla^n h_{np}\varphi^{mp}{}_a\right) + \textit{torsion terms} && \text{(2.18b)}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, up to the torsion terms, the Λ^3_1 *and* Λ^3_7 *components of* $d^*d\chi$ *are given by*

$$\pi_1 d^* d\chi = \frac{2}{7}\left(\nabla_a\nabla_b h^{ab} - \nabla^2 \operatorname{Tr} h\right) + \textit{torsion terms} \tag{2.19a}$$

$$\left(\pi_7 d^* d\chi\right)_a = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_m\nabla^n h_{np}\varphi^{mp}{}_a - \nabla_a\nabla_m X^m - \nabla^2 X_a\right) + \textit{torsion terms} \tag{2.19b}$$

Proof. These identities are found just by manipulating G_2 representation components using contraction identities between φ and ψ. For the second order identities in order to isolate the highest order terms we note that $\pi_7\left(Riem\right)$ and the Ricci tensor are expressed solely in terms of the full torsion tensor [18]. ■

3 Deformations of ψ

Usually deformations of a G_2-structure are done via deformations of the 3-form φ, and from that deformations of associated quantities - g, ψ and the torsion are calculated. In particular, infinitesimal deformations of all these quantities have been written down in [18], while the general non-infinitesimal expression were derived in [9]. Since we will be considering a flow of ψ, we need to re-derive all the infinitesimal results from [18] using a deformation of ψ as a starting point. Let (φ, g) be a G_2-structure. Using the metric, define $\psi = *\varphi$. Suppose $\chi \in \Lambda^3$, then $*\chi \in \Lambda^4$. Consider a deformation of the G_2-structure via a deformation of ψ:

$$\psi \longrightarrow \tilde{\psi} = \psi + *\chi \tag{3.1}$$

Assuming $\tilde{\psi}$ remains a positive 4-form, it defines a new G_2-structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$. The 3-form $\tilde{\varphi}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}_{abc} &= \tilde{*}\left(\psi + *\chi\right)_{abc} \\ &= \frac{1}{3!}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \tilde{g}}}\hat{\varepsilon}^{mnpqrst}\left(\psi_{qrst} + *\chi_{qrst}\right)\tilde{g}_{ma}\tilde{g}_{nb}\tilde{g}_{pc} \\ &= \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\varphi^{mnp} + \chi^{mnp}\right)\tilde{g}_{ma}\tilde{g}_{nb}\tilde{g}_{pc} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In particular,

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\varphi^{mnp} + \chi^{mnp}\right) \tag{3.3}$$

where the raised indices with tildes are raised with the new inverse metric $\tilde{g}^{-1}$. The new metric can be found via the following identity. For any G_2-structure (φ, g), from (2.4) we find that

$$\psi_{amnp}\psi_{bqrs}\varphi^{mnq}\varphi^{prs} = 16\varphi_{ap}{}^{q}\varphi_{bq}{}^{p} = -96g_{ab}$$

Therefore for the G_2-structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{96}\tilde{\psi}_{amnp}\tilde{\psi}_{bqrs}\tilde{\varphi}^{mnq}\tilde{\varphi}^{prs} \\ &= -\frac{1}{96}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)\left(\psi_{amnp} + *\chi_{amnp}\right)\left(\psi_{bqrs} + *\chi_{bqrs}\right)\left(\varphi^{mnq} + \chi^{mnq}\right)\left(\varphi^{prs} + \chi^{prs}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Without the determinant factor, this is a 4th order expression in χ. We can also obtain an expression for the inverse metric. Again, from (2.4), we have

$$\varphi^{amn}\varphi^{bpq}\psi_{mnpq} = 4\varphi^{amn}\varphi^{b}{}_{mn} = 24g^{ab}$$

Therefore for $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} &= \frac{1}{24}\tilde{\varphi}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\tilde{\varphi}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}\tilde{\psi}_{mnpq} \\
&= \frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right)\left(\varphi^{amn}+\chi^{amn}\right)\left(\varphi^{bpq}+\chi^{bpq}\right)\left(\psi_{mnpq}+*\chi_{mnpq}\right) \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose now χ is given by

$$\chi = v\lrcorner\psi + 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}(h) \qquad (3.6)$$

where v is vector and h is a symmetric 2-tensor. Also suppose that we have a one-parameter family $\psi(t)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\psi &= *\chi \qquad (3.7)\\
&= -v\wedge\varphi + 3*\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}(h) \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Then the evolution of 3-form, metric, the inverse metric and the volume form is given in the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Under the flow (3.7), the evolution of related objects is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\det g} &= \frac{3}{4}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\sqrt{\det g} \qquad (3.9a)\\
\frac{d}{dt}g_{ab} &= \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Tr} h)g_{ab} - 2h_{ab} \qquad (3.9b)\\
\frac{d}{dt}g^{ab} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Tr} h)g^{ab} + 2h^{ab} \qquad (3.9c)\\
\frac{d}{dt}\varphi &= \frac{3}{4}\pi_1\chi + \pi_7\chi - \pi_{27}\chi \qquad (3.9d)\\
&= \frac{3}{4}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\varphi + v\lrcorner\psi - 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}(h)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{\det g}{\det \tilde{g}}\right).$$

Then substituting (3.6) into (3.4) and (3.5), and taking terms that are first order in χ only, we find the infinitesimal deformations of g_{ab} and g^{ab}

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_{ab} &= (\delta\gamma)g_{ab} + 2(\operatorname{Tr} h)g_{ab} - 2h_{ab} \qquad (3.10a)\\
\delta g^{ab} &= (\delta\gamma)g^{ab} + (\operatorname{Tr} h)g^{ab} + 2h^{ab} \qquad (3.10b)
\end{aligned}$$

But now,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta\gamma) &= -\det g\,(\delta\det\tilde{g}) = -\det g\left(g^{ab}\delta g_{ab}\right)\\
&= -7\delta\gamma - 12\operatorname{Tr} h
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\delta\gamma = -\frac{3}{2}\operatorname{Tr} h \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, substituting this into (3.10) we obtain (3.9b) and (3.9c). Also, from (3.11) we immediately obtain the expression for $\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\det g}$ (3.9a). Now to compute $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi$, from (3.2) we find that to first order in χ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi} &= -\frac{3}{4}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\,\varphi + \chi + 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\, g_{ab} - 2h_{ab}\right) + O\left(\chi^2\right) \\
&= \frac{3}{4}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\,\varphi + v\lrcorner\psi - 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}(h) + O\left(\chi^2\right) \\
&= \frac{3}{4}\pi_1\chi + \pi_7\chi - \pi_{27}\chi + O\left(\chi^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and thus we get (3.9d). ■

Now that we know how φ evolves, we can easily work out the evolution of the torsion tensor T_{ab}.

Proposition 3.2 *The evolution of the torsion tensor T_{ab} under the flow (3.7) is given by*

$$\frac{dT_{ab}}{dt} = \frac{1}{4}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\, T_{ab} - T_a{}^c h_{cb} - T_a{}^c X^d \varphi_{dcb} - (\nabla_c h_{da})\,\varphi^{dc}{}_b + \nabla_a X_b - \frac{1}{4}(\nabla_c \operatorname{Tr} h)\,\varphi^c{}_{ab} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. From ([18]) we infer that under a general evolution of φ given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi = X\lrcorner\psi + 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}(s)$$

for some symmetric 2-tensor s, the evolution of the torsion tensor T_{ab} is given by

$$\frac{dT_{ab}}{dt} = T_a{}^c s_{cb} + T_a{}^c X^d \varphi_{dcb} - (\nabla_c s_{da})\,\varphi^{cd}{}_b + \nabla_a X_b \tag{3.13}$$

However from (3.9d) we have that in our case,

$$s = \frac{1}{4}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\, g - h$$

Therefore, substituting this into (3.13) we get (3.12). ■

A key motivation for studying flows of closed G_2-structures within a fixed cohomology class of the 3-form φ was that a critical point of the volume functional (1.2) within the cohomology class $[\varphi]$ corresponds a torsion-free G_2-structure. It is trivial to adapt Hitchin's proof of this fact [14] to co-closed G_2-structures.

Proposition 3.3 *Let M be a compact 7-manifold, and suppose the 4-form ψ defines a co-closed G_2-structure on M, so that $d\psi = 0$. Let $\varphi = *\psi$ be the corresponding 3-form. Define the volume functional V*

$$V(\psi) = \frac{1}{7}\int_M \varphi\wedge\psi. \tag{3.14}$$

Then ψ defines a torsion-free G_2-structure if and only if it is a critical point of the functional V restricted to the cohomology class $[\psi] \in H^4(M,\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Consider the variation of V:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta V\left(\dot{\psi}\right) &= \frac{1}{7}\int_M \dot{\varphi}\wedge\psi+\frac{1}{7}\int_M \varphi\wedge\dot{\psi}\\ &= \frac{1}{7}\int_M\left(\frac{3}{4}\pi_1 * \dot{\psi}+\pi_7 * \dot{\psi}-\pi_{27} * \dot{\psi}\right)\wedge\psi\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{7}\int_M \varphi\wedge\dot{\psi}\\ &= \frac{1}{4}\int_M \varphi\wedge\dot{\psi} \qquad (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.9d). Now suppose $\dot{\psi}=d\eta$ for some 3-form η, so that we vary in the same cohomology class. Now

$$\delta V\left(\dot{\psi}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\int_M \varphi\wedge d\eta=\frac{1}{4}\int d\varphi\wedge\eta \qquad (3.16)$$

Thus $\delta V=0$ for η if and only if

$$d\varphi=0.$$

Since we already have $d\psi=0$, this is satisfied if and only if the G_2-structure is torsion-free. ■

In the arXiv version of [15], Hitchin has shown that critical points of the functional $V(\varphi)$ on 3-forms are non-degenerate in the directions transverse to the action of the diffeomorphism group $Diff(M)$. Here we adapt the proof from [15] to show an analogous result for the functional $V(\psi)$ on 4-forms.

Proposition 3.4 *Suppose the 4-form ψ defines a torsion-free G_2-structure on a compact 7-manifold M. Then the Hessian of the functional V (3.14) at ψ is non-degenerate transverse to the action of $Diff(M)$.*

Proof. Since ψ defines a torsion-free G_2-structure, it is a critical point of the functional V. Let us consider infinitesimal deformations of ψ by an exact form $d\chi$, for $\chi\in\Lambda^3$. Then, from (3.9d), the deformation of the dual 3-form φ is given by

$$D(d\chi)=\frac{3}{4}*\pi_1 d\chi+*\pi_7 d\chi-*\pi_{27}d\chi. \qquad (3.17)$$

Now, the tangent space of the orbit of $Diff(M)$ consists of the forms $\mathcal{L}_X\psi$ where X is some vector field. Suppose $d\chi=\mathcal{L}_X\psi=d(X\lrcorner\psi)$ for some vector field X. Then by diffeomorphism invariance, we get that $D(d\chi)=\mathcal{L}_X\varphi=d(X\lrcorner\varphi)$ and hence φ remains closed along the orbits of $Diff(M)$. So now suppose that ψ is a critical point but which is degenerate in some direction $d\chi$ which is transverse to orbits of $Diff(M)$, that is, ψ remains torsion-free in the direction of $d\chi$. Hence for proof by contradiction, we have to show now that if $d\chi$ orthogonal to $d(X\lrcorner\varphi)$ for any vector field X and $d(D(d\chi))=0$, then $d\chi=0$.

If $d\chi$ is transverse to orbits of $Diff(M)$ then for any vector field X,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_M\langle d\chi,\mathcal{L}_X\psi\rangle\,\mathrm{vol}=\int_M\langle d\chi,d(X\lrcorner\psi)\rangle\,\mathrm{vol}\\ &= \int_M\langle d^*d\chi,X\lrcorner\psi\rangle\,\mathrm{vol} \qquad (3.18)\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product with respect to the metric g defined by the G_2-structure on M. Since (3.18) is true for any X, this means that

$$\pi_7 d^* d\chi = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Now from the Hodge Theorem, we can write χ as

$$\chi = H(\chi) + dGd^*\chi + d^*Gd\chi \tag{3.20}$$

where $H(\chi)$ gives the harmonic part and G is the Green's operator for the Hodge Laplacian. An important property of G is that it commute with d, d^* and the projections onto representation components. Then we have

$$d\chi = dd^*Gd\chi = dGd^*d\chi$$

However, from (3.19),

$$\pi_7 G d^* d\chi = 0.$$

So, we can say that $d\chi = d\eta$ for $\eta = Gd^*d\chi \in \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$, and moreover

$$d^*\eta = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

hence we can assume that $\chi \in \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$ and $d^*\chi = 0$. In particular, $\pi_7 d^*\chi = 0$. Then if we suppose χ is given by $\chi = 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi(h)$, from Proposition 2.3 we get that

$$\nabla^r h_{ra} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_a \operatorname{Tr} h$$

and therefore, from (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_7 d\chi &= \frac{3}{4} d \operatorname{Tr}(h) \wedge \varphi \\ &= d\left(\frac{3}{4}(\operatorname{Tr} h)\varphi\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Moreover, since $\chi \in \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$, from (2.17) we have that

$$\pi_1 d\chi = 0.$$

Thus from the condition $d(D(d\chi)) = 0$ we have

$$d^*d\chi - 2d^*\pi_7 d\chi = 0 \tag{3.23}$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\pi_7 d\chi &= dd^*\pi_7 d\chi + d^*d\pi_7 d\chi \\ &= dd^*\pi_7 d\chi \end{aligned}$$

since $\pi_7 d\chi$ is exact. Hence by applying the exterior derivative to (3.23), we find that

$$\Delta d\chi - 2\Delta\pi_7 d\chi = 0$$

However the Hodge Laplacian of a torsion-free G_2-structure commutes with the component projections and we recover

$$\pi_{27}\Delta d\chi - \pi_7\Delta d\chi = 0.$$

Each of the components must vanish individually, and so

$$\Delta d\chi = 0$$

and thus $d\chi = 0$ as required. ■

4 Laplacian of ψ

Let us now look at the properties of $\Delta\psi$. For now consider a generic G_2-structure, so that

$$\Delta\psi = dd^*\psi + d^*d\psi$$

Note that since $\psi = *\varphi$, we have

$$\Delta\psi = *\Delta\varphi$$

so in particular, for the type decomposition of $\Delta\psi$ it is enough to look at $\Delta\varphi$.

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose φ defines a G_2-structure. Then $\Delta\varphi$ has the following type decomposition.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1\Delta\varphi &= \left(\frac{4}{7}\left(\nabla_a T_{bc}\right)\varphi^{abc} + \frac{4}{7}T_{ab}T^{ab} + \frac{2}{7}\left(\operatorname{Tr}T\right)^2 - \frac{2}{7}T_{ab}T^{ba} - \frac{2}{7}T_{ab}T_{cd}\psi^{abcd}\right)\varphi && \text{(4.1a)}\\
\pi_7\Delta\varphi &= \left(-\nabla_a T^{ae} + T^{ab}T^{c}{}_{a}\varphi^{e}{}_{bc} + \left(\operatorname{Tr}T\right)T_{ab}\varphi^{abe}\right)\lrcorner\psi && \text{(4.1b)}\\
\pi_{27}\Delta\varphi &= \mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(\frac{3}{7}\left(\nabla_a T_{bc}\right)\varphi^{abc}g_{de} - 3\nabla_a T_{b(d}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} - 3\psi^{abc}{}_{(d}T_{ab}T_{ce)}\right. && \text{(4.1c)}\\
&\quad \frac{3}{14}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}T\right)^2 - T_{ab}T^{ba} - T_{ab}T_{cd}\psi^{abcd} + 2T_{ab}T^{ab} + 7\psi_{mnpq}T^{mn}T^{pq}\right)g_{de}\\
&\quad \left.-3T^{mn}T^{pq}\varphi_{mp(d}\varphi_{nqe)} - 3\psi^{abc}{}_{(d}T_{ab}T_{e)c} - 3T^{a}{}_{d}T_{ae}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a straightforward, but rather long calculation. We first expand $\Delta\varphi$ in terms of the covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta\varphi\right)_{abc} &= \left(dd^*\varphi + d^*d\varphi\right)_{abc}\\
&= -3\nabla_{[a}\nabla^{d}\varphi_{|d|bc]} - 4\nabla^{d}\nabla_{[d}\varphi_{abc]}
\end{aligned}$$

and then apply the formula for the covariant derivative of φ in terms of T_{ab} (2.9). This gives

$$\left(\Delta\varphi\right)_{abc} = -3\nabla_{[a}\left(T^{\ de}\psi_{|ed|bc]}\right) - 4\nabla^{d}\left(T_{[d}{}^{e}\psi_{|e|abc]}\right).$$

Now, expanding further, and applying (2.10), we get a full expression for $\Delta\varphi$ in terms of T and its derivatives. This can then be projected onto the components of Λ^3 to obtain the decomposition (4.1). ■

Definition 4.2 *Given a differential operator P, denote by $D_{\varphi}P\left(\chi\right)$ its linearization at φ, evaluated at χ:*

$$D_{\varphi}P\left(\chi\right) = \lim_{t\longrightarrow 0}\left(\frac{P\left(\varphi + t\chi\right) - P\left(\varphi\right)}{t}\right)$$

Proposition 4.3 *Consider a non-linear differential operator P_{φ}, which is defined by φ, acting on the G_2-structure φ, given to leading order by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7\left(P_{\varphi}\varphi\right) &= -\nabla_b T^{b}{}_{a} + l.o.t && \text{(4.2)}\\
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(P_{\varphi}\varphi\right) &= \mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(a_{27}\nabla_c T_{d(a}\varphi^{cd}{}_{b)} + b_{27}\nabla_c T_{(a|d|}\varphi^{cd}{}_{b)} + a_1\left(\nabla_c T_{de}\right)\varphi^{cde}g_{ab}\right) + l.o.t. && \text{(4.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants a_{27}, b_{27} and a_1. Then the linearization of P_φ at φ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7\left(D_\varphi P_\varphi\right)(\chi) &= \left(\nabla_c \nabla^a h_{ad}\right)\varphi^{cd}{}_b - \nabla^2 X_b + l.o.t \\
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(D_\varphi P_\varphi\right)(\chi) &= a_{27}\left(-\nabla_a \nabla_m h_{nb}\varphi^{mn}{}_{(d}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)}\right) \\
&\quad + b_{27}\left(\nabla^2 h_{de} - \nabla_{(d}\nabla_{|a|}h^a{}_{e)} + \nabla_{(d}\nabla_a X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)}\right) \\
&\quad + a_1\left(-\nabla^2\left(\operatorname{Tr} h\right) + \nabla_a \nabla_b h^{ab}\right) g_{de} + l.o.t.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose

$$\dot{\varphi} = \chi = X^a \psi_{amnp} + 3h^a_{[m}\varphi_{np]a}$$

then from [18] we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{g}_{ab} &= 2h_{ab} \\
\dot{g}^{ab} &= -2h^{ab}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\dot{T}_{ab} = T_a{}^c h_{cb} + T_a{}^c X^d \varphi_{dcb} - \left(\nabla_c h_{da}\right)\varphi^{cd}{}_b + \nabla_a X_b.$$

Then consider the linearization of P at φ

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7\left(DP_\varphi\right)(\chi) &= \nabla^a\left(\nabla_c h_{da}\right)\varphi^{cd}{}_b - \nabla^2 X_b + l.o.t. \\
&= \nabla^a\left(\nabla_c h_{da}\right)\varphi^{cd}{}_b - \nabla^2 X_b + l.o.t \\
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(DP_\varphi\right)(\chi) &= -a_1\left(\nabla_a \nabla_m h_{nb}\varphi^{mn}{}_p\varphi^{abp} + \nabla_a \nabla_b X_c \varphi^{abc}\right) g_{de} \\
&\quad + b_{27}\left(-\nabla_a \nabla_m h_{n(d}\varphi^{mn}{}_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} + \nabla_a \nabla_{(d}X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)}\right) + l.o.t. \\
&= b_{27}\left(\nabla^2 h_{de} - \nabla_a \nabla_{(d}h^a{}_{e)} + \nabla_a \nabla_{(d}X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)}\right) \\
&\quad + a_1\left(-\nabla^2\left(\operatorname{Tr} h\right) + \nabla_a \nabla_b h^{ab}\right) g_{de} + l.o.t.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Note that the Laplacian of a general G_2-structure φ is given by the above operator P with $a_1 = \frac{3}{7}$, $a_{27} = -3$ and $b_{27} = 0$. As an example, consider the well-studied case of the Laplacian Δ_φ of the closed 3-form φ. In this case, since φ is closed, $T = \tau_{14}$ only has a component in the 14-dimensional representation, and is hence anti-symmetric. Moreover, it is a known fact (see e.g. [9]), that in this case

$$d^* \tau_{14} = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

and hence the highest order term $\nabla_a T^{ae}$ in (4.1b) vanishes. Moreover, since $\tau_{14} \in \Lambda^2_{14}$, we also have $\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{ab}\varphi^{abc} = 0$ (i.e. the projection to Λ^2_7 vanishes). Thus the highest order term $\left(\nabla_a T_{bc}\right)\varphi^{abc}$ in (4.1a) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_a T_{bc}\right)\varphi^{abc} &= \nabla_a\left(\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{bc}\varphi^{abc}\right) - \left(\tau_{14}\right)_{bc}\nabla_a \varphi^{abc} \\
&= \left(\tau_{14}\right)_{bc}\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{ea}\psi^{eabc} \\
&= -2\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{bc}\left(\tau_{14}\right)^{bc}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity

$$\omega_{ab}\psi^{ab}{}_{cd} = -2\omega_{cd} \tag{4.5}$$

for any $\omega \in \Lambda^2_{14}$. Overall, after similarly simplifying other terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1\Delta_\varphi\varphi &= \frac{2}{7}\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{ab}\left(\tau_{14}\right)^{ab} && \text{(4.6a)}\\
\pi_7\Delta_\varphi\varphi &= 0 && \text{(4.6b)}\\
\pi_{27}\Delta_\varphi\varphi &= 3\left(\nabla_b\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{(a|c|}\right)\varphi_{d)}{}^{bc} - \frac{9}{7}g_{ad}\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{bc}\left(\tau_{14}\right)^{bc} + 3\left(\tau_{14}\right)^{b}{}_{a}\left(\tau_{14}\right)_{bd} && \text{(4.6c)}
\end{aligned}$$

. Thus comparing the highest order terms we find that $\Delta\varphi$ in this case corresponds to the operator P in Proposition 4.3 with $a_1 = a_7 = b_7 = a_{27} = 0$ and $b_{27} = 3$. Hence, from Proposition 4.3 we get the linearization:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7\left(D_\varphi\Delta_\varphi\right)(\chi) &= 0 && \text{(4.7)}\\
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(D_\varphi\Delta_\varphi\right)(\chi) &= 3\left(\nabla^2 h_{de} - \nabla_{(d}\nabla_{|a|}h^{a}{}_{e)} + \nabla_{(d}\nabla_a X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)}\right) + l.o.t. && \text{(4.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now note that if we have $\chi = X^a\psi_{amnp} + 3h^a_{[m}\varphi_{np]a}$, from Proposition 2.3, we know the type decomposition of $d\chi$, and moreover, from the expansion of $d^*d\chi$ we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \nabla_a\left(\nabla^e X_e\right) + l.o.t. && \text{(4.9a)}\\
0 &= \nabla^2 \operatorname{Tr} h - \nabla_a\nabla_b h^{ab} + l.o.t. && \text{(4.9b)}\\
0 &= \nabla^2 X_a - \nabla_m\nabla^b h_{nb}\varphi^{mn}{}_a + l.o.t. && \text{(4.9c)}
\end{aligned}$$

. Also note for a vector α, we have

$$d\left(\alpha\lrcorner\varphi\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla^m\alpha^n\varphi_{mne}\right)\lrcorner\psi + 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\nabla_{(m}\alpha_{n)}\right) \tag{4.10}$$

Now let $Y_e = \nabla_e \operatorname{Tr} h$ and $Z_e = \nabla_a X_b \varphi^{ab}{}_e$. Then, from (4.10), and applying relations (4.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7 d\left(Y\lrcorner\varphi\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla_m\left(\nabla_n \operatorname{Tr} h\right)\varphi^{mn}{}_a = 0 + l.o.t. && \text{(4.11)}\\
\pi_{1\oplus 27} d\left(Y\lrcorner\varphi\right) &= 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\nabla_{(d}\nabla_{e)}\operatorname{Tr} h\right) && \text{(4.12)}\\
&= 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\nabla_{(d}\nabla_m X_n\varphi^{mn}{}_{e)} + \nabla_{(d}\nabla_r h^{r}{}_{e)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7 d\left(Z\lrcorner\varphi\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla_d\nabla_a X_b\varphi^{ab}{}_e\varphi^{de}{}_p = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 X_a && \text{(4.13)}\\
\pi_{1\oplus 27} d\left(Z\lrcorner\varphi\right) &= 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\nabla_{(d}\nabla_a X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)}\right) && \text{(4.14)}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$2d\left(Z\lrcorner\varphi\right) - d\left(Y\lrcorner\varphi\right) = -\left(\nabla^2 X\right)\lrcorner\psi + 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(-\nabla_{(d}\nabla_r h^{r}{}_{e)} + \nabla_{(d}\nabla_a X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)}\right) \tag{4.15}$$

So in fact,

$$D_\varphi\Delta_\varphi(\chi) = \left(\nabla^2 X\right)\lrcorner\psi + 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\nabla^2 h_{de}\right) + 2d\left(Z\lrcorner\varphi\right) - d\left(Y\lrcorner\varphi\right) + l.o.t. \tag{4.16}$$

It is easy to see that for any 3-form χ,

$$\Delta_\varphi \chi = -\left(\nabla^2 X\right) \lrcorner \psi - 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\nabla^2 h_{de}\right) + l.o.t. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus from (4.16) we conclude that

$$D_\varphi \Delta_\varphi(\chi) = -\Delta_\varphi \chi + 2d\left(Z \lrcorner \varphi\right) - d\left(Y \lrcorner \varphi\right) + dF(\chi) \tag{4.18}$$

Since χ is closed, $\Delta_\varphi \chi$ is exact, so the lower order terms in the above expression are exact, so we rewrite them as an exterior derivative of some 2-form valued algebraic function of χ. Thus we have derived the same result as in [4, 22]:

Proposition 4.4 ([4, 22]) *If φ is a closed G_2-structure, then the linearization of the Laplacian Δ_φ at φ, evaluated at a closed form χ is given by*

$$D_\varphi \Delta_\varphi(\chi) = -\Delta_\varphi \chi - \mathcal{L}_{V_\varphi}\varphi + dF(\chi) \tag{4.19}$$

where $(V_\varphi)^m = -2\nabla_a X_b \varphi^{abm} + \nabla^m \operatorname{Tr} h$ and $F(\chi)$ is a 2-form valued algebraic function of χ.

The representation of $D_\varphi \Delta_\varphi(\chi)$ as (4.19) shows us that we can apply the DeTurck trick - adding $\mathcal{L}_{V_\varphi}\varphi$ to (4.19) gives an flow that is elliptic in the direction closed forms. In [4, 22] this is then used to show short-time existence and uniqueness for the Laplacian flow for 3-forms (1.1).

Now let us consider the Laplacian of φ for a co-closed G_2-structure. In this case, T_{ab} is now symmetric, and moreover, from the condition $d^2\varphi = 0$, it satisfies

$$\nabla^a T_{ab} = \nabla_b \operatorname{Tr} T \tag{4.20}$$

Proposition 4.5 *Suppose φ is a co-closed G_2-structure, then the type decomposition of $\Delta_\varphi\varphi$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 \Delta_\varphi \varphi &= \frac{2}{7} T_{ab}T^{ab} + \frac{2}{7}\left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)^2 && \text{(4.21a)}\\
\pi_7 \Delta_\varphi \varphi &= -\nabla_a T^a{}_d = -\nabla_d \operatorname{Tr}(T) && \text{(4.21b)}\\
\pi_{27} \Delta_\varphi \varphi &= -3\left(\nabla_c T_{(a|b|}\right)\varphi_{d)}{}^{cb} - \frac{3}{2}\varphi^{mn}{}_a \varphi^{pq}{}_d T_{mp}T_{nq} - 3T_{ab}T^b_d && \text{(4.21c)}\\
&\quad + \frac{3}{14} g_{ad} T_{bc}T^{bc} + \frac{3}{14} g_{ad}\left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We obtain this directly from (4.21) by using the fact that T is symmetric and also applying identity (4.20). ■

Now suppose we have the flow

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \Delta_\psi \psi = *_\varphi \Delta_\varphi \varphi \tag{4.22}$$

and from (4.21),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\psi \psi &= d \operatorname{Tr}(T) \wedge \varphi + && \text{(4.23)}\\
& 3 * \mathrm{i}_\varphi \left(-\left(\nabla_c T_{(a|b|}\right)\varphi_{d)}{}^{cb} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{mn}{}_a \varphi^{pq}{}_d T_{mp}T_{nq} + \frac{1}{6} g_{ad}T_{bc}T^{bc} + \frac{1}{6} g_{ad}\left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)^2 - T_{ab}T^b_d\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Proposition 3.1, we find that the metric g evolves as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_{ab} = 2\left(\nabla_c T_{(a|d|}\right)\varphi_{b)}{}^{cd} + \varphi^{mn}{}_{a}\varphi^{pq}{}_{b}T_{mp}T_{nq} + 2T_{ac}T_b^c \tag{4.24}$$

Note that for a co-closed G_2, the Ricci curvature is given by

$$R_{ad} = -\left(\nabla_b T_{c(a}\right)\varphi_{d)}{}^{bc} - T_{ab}T_d^b + (\operatorname{Tr} T)\, T_{ad}. \tag{4.25}$$

The details can be found in [9, 18]. Hence we can rewrite (4.24) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_{ab} = -2R_{ab} + \varphi^{mn}{}_{a}\varphi^{pq}{}_{b}T_{mp}T_{nq} + 2(\operatorname{Tr} T)\, T_{ad}. \tag{4.26}$$

Therefore, same as for the Laplacian flow of the 3-form φ [3], the leading term of the metric flow corresponds to the Ricci flow. Also, the evolution of the volume form under this flow is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\det g} = \frac{1}{2}\left(|T|^2 + (\operatorname{Tr} T)^2\right)\sqrt{\det g} \tag{4.27}$$

For non-trivial torsion this is always positive and is zero if and only if $T = 0$. Hence the volume functional V (3.14) grows monotonically along the flow (4.22), with an extremum being reached along a flow line if and only if the torsion vanishes. We have already seen in Proposition 3.3 that it is generally true that torsion-free G_2-structures correspond to critical points of V when restricted to a fixed cohomology class of φ. The Laplacian flow of φ (1.1) shares the property. As shown in [3], the volume form grows monotonically along the flow (1.1) and in [4], it was interpreted as the gradient flow of the volume functional with respect to an unusual metric. Similarly, we can do the same for (4.22). As in [4], define the following metric on $dC^\infty\left(M, \Lambda^3(M)\right)$:

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle_\psi = \frac{1}{4}\int_M G_\psi \chi_1 \wedge *\chi_2.$$

for any exact 4-forms χ_1 and χ_2. As before, G_ψ is the Green's operator for the Hodge Laplacian Δ_ψ. From (3.16), we know that for an exact 4-form $\dot{\psi}$, the deformation of V is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta V\left(\dot{\psi}\right) &= \frac{1}{4}\int_M \dot{\psi}\wedge\varphi = \frac{1}{4}\int_M \Delta_\psi G_\psi \dot{\psi}\wedge\varphi \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\int_M G_\psi\dot{\psi}\wedge\Delta_\psi\psi \\
&= \left\langle \dot{\psi}, \Delta_\psi\psi \right\rangle_\psi
\end{aligned}$$

Hence indeed, the gradient flow of V is given by (4.22).

Now consider the linearization of $\Delta_\psi\psi$.

Proposition 4.6 *The linearization of Δ_ψ at ψ is given by*

$$\pi_7\left(D_\psi\Delta_\psi\right)(\chi) = d(\operatorname{div} X)\wedge\varphi + l.o.t. \tag{4.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(D_\psi\Delta_\psi\right)(\chi) = {} & 3 * \mathrm{i}_\varphi\Bigg(\nabla^2 h_{de} - \nabla_{(d}\nabla_{|a|}h^a{}_{e)} - \nabla_a\nabla_{(d}X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_a\nabla_d \operatorname{Tr} h \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla^2 \operatorname{Tr} h\right) g_{ad}\Bigg) + l.o.t.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where χ is a 4-form given by

$$\chi = *\left(X \lrcorner \psi + 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(h\right)\right)$$

Proof. To find the linearization, we just take the decomposition of $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ (4.23) and use the formula (3.12) for the variation of T_{ab}. So we have

$$\dot{T}_{ab} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{Tr} h\right) T_{ab} - T_{a}{}^{c} h_{cb} - T_{a}{}^{c} X^{d} \varphi_{dcb} - \left(\nabla_{c} h_{da}\right) \varphi^{dc}{}_{b} + \nabla_{a} X_{b} - \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{c} \operatorname{Tr} h\right) \varphi^{c}{}_{ab} \tag{4.30}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{7}\left(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\right)(\chi) &= d\left(\operatorname{div} X\right) \wedge \varphi + l.o.t. \\
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\right)(\chi) &= 3 * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(-\nabla_{a}\nabla_{m} h_{n(d}\varphi^{mn}{}_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} - \nabla_{a}\nabla_{(d}X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_{c}\left(\nabla_{m}\operatorname{Tr} h\right)\varphi^{m}{}_{(a|b|}\varphi_{d)}{}^{cb}\right) \\
&\quad + l.o.t. \\
&= 3 * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(\nabla^{2} h_{de} - \nabla_{(d}\nabla_{|a|} h^{a}{}_{e)} - \nabla_{a}\nabla_{(d}X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_{a}\nabla_{d}\operatorname{Tr} h - \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla^{2}\operatorname{Tr} h\right) g_{ad}\right) \\
&\quad + l.o.t.
\end{aligned}$$

■

To see that this is not a positive operator, consider the principal symbol $\sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\right)(\chi)$ for some vector ξ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{7}\sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\right)(\chi) &= \langle X, \xi\rangle \xi^{\flat} \wedge \varphi \\
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\right)(\chi) &= 3 * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(\left|\xi\right|^{2} h_{de} - \xi_{(d}\xi_{|a|} h^{a}{}_{e)} - \xi_{a}\xi_{(d}X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} + \frac{1}{4}\xi_{a}\xi_{d}\operatorname{Tr} h - \frac{1}{4}\left|\xi\right|^{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr} h\right) g_{ad}\right) \\
&\quad + l.o.t.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, if $h = 0$, we have

$$\left\langle \sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\right)(\chi), \chi\right\rangle = -4\left\langle X, \xi\right\rangle^{2} \leq 0.$$

We may attempt to use DeTurck's trick to modify this operator by adding a certain Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi$ to the flow (4.22), where $V(\chi)$ is linear in the first derivatives of χ, so that the modified flow of ψ is now given by

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \Delta_{\psi}\psi + \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi \tag{4.31}$$

For convenience, denote

$$Q_{\psi}\psi = \Delta_{\psi}\psi + \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi. \tag{4.32}$$

Since ψ is closed, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi = d\left(V(\chi) \lrcorner \psi\right).$$

Consider first the type decomposition of $d\left(V(\chi) \lrcorner \psi\right)$. From Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\pi_{7} d\left(V \lrcorner \psi\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{m} V_{n} \varphi^{mn}{}_{a} \wedge \varphi + l.o.t. \tag{4.33a}$$

$$\pi_{1\oplus 27} d\left(V \lrcorner \psi\right) = 3 * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(-\nabla_{(m}V_{n)} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{e} V_{e} g_{mn}\right) + l.o.t. \tag{4.33b}$$

. We are only interested in linearizing (4.32) in the direction of closed 4-forms, so suppose $\chi = *\left(X \lrcorner \psi + 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(h\right)\right)$ is closed, and hence $*\chi = X \lrcorner \psi + 3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(h\right)$ is co-closed. This requirement gives a number of conditions on X and h. From Proposition 2.3 we then get the following

$$-\nabla^{r} h_{rm} \varphi^{m}{}_{bc} - 2\nabla_{m} h_{n[b} \varphi^{mn}{}_{c]} + \nabla_{m} X_{n} \psi^{mn}{}_{bc} = l.o.t \tag{4.34a}$$

$$\nabla_{b} X_{c} \varphi_{a}{}^{bc} - \nabla^{b} h_{ba} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{a} \operatorname{Tr} h = l.o.t. \tag{4.34b}$$

In particular, from this we get the relations:

$$\nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} h^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} \operatorname{Tr} h = l.o.t. \tag{4.35a}$$

$$\nabla_{(a} \nabla^{r} h_{b)r} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \operatorname{Tr} h - \nabla_{m} \nabla_{(a} X_{|n|} \varphi^{mn}{}_{b)} = l.o.t. \tag{4.35b}$$

$$\nabla_{a} \nabla_{m} X^{m} - \nabla^{2} X_{a} - \nabla_{m} \nabla^{n} h_{np} \varphi^{mp}{}_{a} = l.o.t. \tag{4.35c}$$

The only possible vector fields that is linear in covariant derivatives of X and h are

$$Y^{e} = \nabla^{e} \operatorname{Tr} h \tag{4.36}$$

$$W^{e} = \nabla^{a} h_{a}{}^{e} \tag{4.37}$$

$$Z^{e} = \nabla_{a} X_{b} \varphi^{abe} \tag{4.38}$$

However from (4.34b) we see that these vectors are linearly dependent, so it is sufficient to take a linear combination of any two of them. For convenience we will choose Y and Z. First consider $d\left(Y \lrcorner \psi\right)$:

$$\pi_{7} d\left(Y \lrcorner \psi\right) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{m} \nabla_{n} \left(\operatorname{Tr} h\right) \varphi^{mn}{}_{a}\right) \wedge \varphi + l.o.t. = 0 + l.o.t. \tag{4.39a}$$

$$\pi_{1\oplus 27} d\left(Y \lrcorner \psi\right) = 3 * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi} \left(-\nabla_{m} \nabla_{n} \operatorname{Tr} h + \frac{1}{3} \nabla^{2} \left(\operatorname{Tr} h\right) g_{mn}\right) + l.o.t. \tag{4.39b}$$

Similarly, the decomposition of $d\left(Z \lrcorner \psi\right)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{7} d\left(Z \lrcorner \psi\right) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{m} \nabla_{c} X_{d} \varphi^{cd}{}_{n} \varphi^{mn}{}_{a}\right) \wedge \varphi + l.o.t. \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^{2} X_{a} - \nabla_{a} \nabla^{r} X_{r}\right) + l.o.t. \end{aligned} \tag{4.40a}$$

$$\pi_{1\oplus 27} d\left(Z \lrcorner \psi\right) = 3 * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi} \left(-\nabla_{m} \nabla_{(a} X_{n} \varphi^{mn}{}_{d)}\right) + l.o.t. \tag{4.40b}$$

Hence, if we take

$$V = \frac{3}{4} Y - 2Z \tag{4.41}$$

and apply (4.35b), we find that the linearization of Q_{ψ} is now given

$$\pi_{7} \left(D_{\psi} Q_{\psi}\right)\left(\chi\right) = \left(-\nabla^{2} X + 2d\left(\operatorname{div} X\right)\right) \wedge \varphi + l.o.t. \tag{4.42a}$$

$$\pi_{1\oplus 27} \left(D_{\psi} Q_{\psi}\right)\left(\chi\right) = 3 * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi} \left(\nabla^{2} h_{ad}\right) + l.o.t. \tag{4.42b}$$

For some vector ξ, consider the principal symbol $\sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\psi}Q_{\psi}\right)\chi$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\psi}Q_{\psi}\right)\chi,\chi\right\rangle &= \left\langle \left(-\left|\xi\right|^{2}X+2\xi\left\langle \xi,X\right\rangle \right)\wedge\varphi,-X\wedge\varphi\right\rangle \\ &\quad +9\left\langle \mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(\left|\xi\right|^{2}h_{ad}\right),\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(h_{ad}\right)\right\rangle \\ &= 4\left(\left|\xi\right|^{2}\left|X\right|^{2}-2\left\langle \xi,X\right\rangle ^{2}\right)+2\left|\xi\right|^{2}\left|h\right|^{2} \qquad (4.43)\end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that the principal symbol is still indefinite, and so (4.31) is not parabolic. Note that adding more instances of $\mathcal{L}_{Z}\psi$ would not help. To see this, let $\sigma_{\xi}\left(d\left(Z\lrcorner\psi\right)\right)$ be the principal symbol of $d\left(Z\lrcorner\psi\right)$ for some vector ξ. Using (4.35c), we get

$$\left\langle \sigma_{\xi}\left(d\left(Z\lrcorner\psi\right)\right),\chi\right\rangle =-2\xi_{m}\xi^{n}h_{np}\varphi^{mp}{}_{a}X^{a}-2\xi_{m}\xi_{a}X_{n}\varphi^{mn}{}_{d}h^{ad}=0 \qquad (4.44)$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{Z}\psi$ would not contribute in any way to (4.43). However, overall, we have shown that we can rewrite the linearized operator $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ in the following way.

Proposition 4.7 *The linearization of the operator $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ evaluated at a closed 4-form χ given by*

$$\chi=\ast\left(X\lrcorner\psi+3\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(h\right)\right)$$

is given by

$$D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\left(\chi\right)=-\Delta_{\psi}\chi-\mathcal{L}_{V\left(\chi\right)}\psi+2d\left(\left(\operatorname{div}X\right)\varphi\right)+dF\left(\chi\right) \qquad (4.45)$$

where

$$V\left(\chi\right)_{a}=\frac{3}{4}\nabla_{a}\operatorname{Tr}h-2\nabla_{m}X_{n}\varphi^{mn}{}_{a} \qquad (4.46)$$

and $F\left(\chi\right)$ is a 3-form-valued algebraic function of χ.

Proof. From (4.42), and using (4.17) we have

$$D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\left(\chi\right)=-\Delta_{\psi}\chi-\mathcal{L}_{V\left(\chi\right)}\psi+2d\left(\operatorname{div}X\right)\wedge\varphi+l.o.t.$$

However we can write

$$\left(\nabla^{2}X\right)\wedge\varphi=d\left(\left(\operatorname{div}X\right)\varphi\right)+l.o.t.$$

and whence,

$$D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}\left(\chi\right)=-\Delta_{\psi}\chi-\mathcal{L}_{V_{\chi}}\psi+2d\left(\left(\operatorname{div}X\right)\varphi\right)+l.o.t. \qquad (4.47)$$

However, for a closed ψ, $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ is exact, and now all the higher order terms are exact, so the lower order terms must be an exact 4-form, and can thus be represented as $dF\left(\chi\right)$ where F is a 3-form which depends algebraically on χ. ■

5 Modified flow

In the previous section we have seen that the original Laplacian flow of ψ (1.3) is not parabolic, and moreover, unlike the Laplacian flow of φ (1.1), it is not even weakly parabolic - as we have seen, the principal symbol of $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ is indefinite. Hence, unlike the situation with the Ricci flow or the Laplacian flow of φ, we cannot modify this flow to be parabolic just by adding a Lie derivative along a vector V. In fact, in our case, we have seen that we choose V such that the

$\Lambda^4_1 \oplus \Lambda^4_{27}$ component becomes parabolic. However it turns out that this addition cannot fix the highest order terms of the Λ^4_7 component of the flow. The main motivation for considering the Laplacian flow of ψ is that it gives a flow of co-closed G_2-structures with the volume functional increasing monotonically along the flow, which suggests that if a long-time solution to this flow were to exist, it would converge towards a torsion-free G_2-stucture. So let us modify the flow (1.1) such that it becomes weakly parabolic (before applying the DeTurck trick). However, it is important that the new flow stays within the class of co-closed G_2-structures and that the volume functional increases along the flow.

Theorem 5.1 *For a co-closed G_2-structure defined by the closed 4-form ψ, consider the flow*

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \Delta_\psi \psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\varphi\right). \tag{5.1}$$

where A is a fixed constant. Then, its linearization is given by

$$\frac{d\chi}{dt} = -\Delta_\psi \chi - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi + d\hat{F}\left(\chi\right)$$

where $V\left(\chi\right)$ is as in Proposition 4.7 and $\hat{F}\left(\chi\right)$ is a 3-form-valued function that is algebraic in χ.

Moreover, the evolution of the volume functional (3.14) is given by

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \left(\left|T\right|^2 + \operatorname{Tr} T\left(4A - 3\operatorname{Tr} T\right)\right)\mathrm{vol}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Let $\hat{Q}_\psi$ denote the operator on the right hand side of (5.1). Expand the extra term in $\hat{Q}_\psi \psi$:

$$\begin{aligned}
2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\varphi\right) &= -2d\left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)\wedge\varphi + 2\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)d\varphi \\
&= -2d\left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)\wedge\varphi + 2\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\left(4\tau_1\psi - 3 * \mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\tau_{27}\right)\right) \\
&= -2d\left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)\wedge\varphi + \frac{8}{7}\operatorname{Tr} T\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\psi - 6\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right) * \mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\tau_{27}\right) \qquad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the only highest order terms are present in the Λ^4_7 component. From (3.12)

$$D_\psi\left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)\left(\chi\right) = \operatorname{div} X + l.o.t.$$

So, the linearization of $\hat{Q}_\psi$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_7\left(D_\psi \hat{Q}_\psi\right)\left(\chi\right) &= -d\left(\operatorname{div} X\right)\wedge\varphi + l.o.t. \qquad (5.4) \\
\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(D_\psi \hat{Q}_\psi\right)\left(\chi\right) &= 3 * \mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\nabla^2 h_{de} - \nabla_{(d}\nabla_{|a|}h^a{}_{e)} - \nabla_a\nabla_{(d}X_{|b|}\varphi^{ab}{}_{e)} + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_a\nabla_d\operatorname{Tr} h \right. \qquad (5.5) \\
&\qquad \left. -\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla^2\operatorname{Tr} h\right)g_{ad}\right) + l.o.t.
\end{aligned}$$

more detail From Proposition 4.7 we get that

$$D_\psi \Delta_\psi\left(\chi\right) = -\Delta_\psi \chi - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi + 2d\left(\left(\operatorname{div} X\right)\varphi\right) + dF\left(\chi\right)$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\psi}\hat{Q}_{\psi}\left(\chi\right) &= -\Delta_{\psi}\chi - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi + 2d\left(\left(\operatorname{div} X\right)\varphi\right) + dF\left(\chi\right) \\ &\quad +2d\left(A\dot{\varphi} - \left(\operatorname{div} X\right)\varphi\right) \\ &= -\Delta_{\psi}\chi - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi + d\hat{F}\left(\chi\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{F} = F + 2A\dot{\varphi}$, so is also a 3-form-valued function that is algebraic in χ.

Now consider the evolution of the volume functional:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \int_M \frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\det g}.$$

From (4.27) and applying (3.9a) to (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\det g} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|T\right|^2 + \left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)^2\right) + 2\operatorname{Tr} T\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|T\right|^2 + \operatorname{Tr} T\left(4A - 3\operatorname{Tr} T\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

■

In particular we see that if at every time t along the flow the following holds

$$A\int_M \operatorname{Tr} T\mathrm{vol} \geq \frac{3}{4}\int_M \left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)^2 \mathrm{vol} \tag{5.6}$$

then the volume functional grows along the flow (5.1). This is of course true if for positive A

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Tr} T \leq \frac{4}{3}A$$

holds everywhere on M for all t. So as long as the condition (5.6) holds, our flow satisfies all the desired properties. We can also get an alternative condition for the positivity of $\frac{dV}{dt}$. From (4.25) we have an expression for the scalar curvature R of a co-closed G_2-stucture.:

$$R = -\left|T\right|^2 + \left(\operatorname{Tr} T\right)^2 \tag{5.7}$$

Hence, we can rewrite the evolution of $\sqrt{\det g}$ as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\det g} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-R + 2\operatorname{Tr} T\left(2A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\right).$$

Thus, $\frac{dV}{dt} \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\int_M R\mathrm{vol} \leq 2\int_M \operatorname{Tr} T\left(2A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\mathrm{vol} \tag{5.8}$$

6 Short-time existence and uniqueness

Let us now formulate the initial value problem for which we want to prove short-time existence and uniqueness:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\psi = \Delta_\psi \psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_\psi\right) *_\psi \psi\right) \\ d\psi = 0 \\ \psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0 \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

Here will adapt the method that was used by Bryant and Xu [4] to show short-time existence and uniqueness for the Laplacian flow of φ (1.1). Since for closed $\psi(t)$, the right hand side of the flow is exact, for any t, $\psi(t)$ stays within the same cohomology class $[\psi_0]$ and hence we can write

$$\psi(t) = \psi_0 + \chi(t) \tag{6.2}$$

where $\chi(t)$ is an exact form. We can thus rewrite (6.1) in an equivalent way:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\chi = \Delta_\psi \psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_\psi\right) *_\psi \psi\right) \\ \chi \ \text{ is exact} \\ \chi|_{t=0} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

As before, suppose χ is given by

$$\chi = *\left(X \lrcorner \psi + 3\mathrm{i}_\varphi(h)\right).$$

Then, as before, define the vector

$$V(\chi)^a = \frac{3}{4}\nabla^a \operatorname{Tr} h - 2\nabla_m X_n \varphi^{mna} \tag{6.4}$$

From Theorem 5.1, we can say that the following initial value problem is parabolic in the direction of closed forms

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\chi = \Delta_\psi \psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_\psi\right) *_\psi \psi\right) + \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi \\ \chi \ \text{ is exact} \\ \chi|_{t=0} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

The idea is to first show short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions for the flow (6.5), and then from these, obtain solutions of (6.3) via diffeomorphisms. Since (6.5) is parabolic only in certain directions, standard theory of parabolic PDEs does not apply, and we thus have to use the Nash-Moser inverse function theorem for tame Fréchet spaces. This technique was first used by Hamilton for the Ricci flow [13, 12] and Bryant and Xu for the Laplacian flow of φ [4]. We first review basic definitions as introduced by Hamilton [12].

Definition 6.1 1. *A* graded Fréchet space $\mathcal{F}$ *is a complete Hausdorff topological vector space with the topology defined by a collection of increasing semi-norms* $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, *so that*

$$\|x\|_0 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \ldots$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{F}$, *so that a sequence converges if and only if it converges with respect to each semi-norm and a subset* $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{F}$ *is open if and only if around every point* $x \in \mathcal{U}$ *there exists an open ball contained in* $\mathcal{U}$ *with respect to the semi-norm* $\|\cdot\|_n$ *for some* n. *Such a collection of norms is called a* grading.

2. *Two gradings* $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *and* $\left\{\|\cdot\|'_n\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *are said to be* tamely equivalent *of degree* r *and base* b, *if for all* $x \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\|x\|_n \leq C(n)\|x\|'_{n+r} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|'_n \leq C(n)\|x\|_{n+r} \tag{6.6}$$

for all $n \geq b$.

3. *Let* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $\mathcal{G}$ *be graded Fréchet spaces. Then a linear map* $L : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ *is* tame *if it satisfies a* tame estimate *of degree* r *and base* b, *that is, for each* $n \geq b$ *the following holds:*

$$\|Lx\|_n \leq C(n)\|x\|_{n+r} \tag{6.7}$$

for some constant $C(n)$ *that may depend on* n. *A tame linear map is continuous in the topology of* $\mathcal{F}$.

4. *Suppose* $P : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ *is a continuous map. Then* P *is tame if it satisfies the following tame estimate of degree* r *and base* b:

$$\|Px\|_n \leq C(n)\left(1 + \|x\|_{n+r}\right) \text{ for each } n \geq b \tag{6.8}$$

A tame map is said to be smooth tame *if all its derivatives are tame.*

5. *Let* $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ *be a Banach space, the* $\Sigma(B)$ *denotes the graded Fréchet space of all sequences* $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *in* B *such that for all* $n \geq 0$

$$\left\|\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\right\|_n := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{nk}\|x_k\|_B < \infty \tag{6.9}$$

6. *A graded Fréchet space* $\mathcal{F}$ *is* tame *if there exists a Banach space* B *and two tame linear maps* $L : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \Sigma(B)$ *and* $M : \Sigma(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ *such that* $M \circ L$ *is the identity on* $\mathcal{F}$.

The main reason for introducing the Fréchet space formalism is the Nash-Moser inverse function theorem.

Theorem 6.2 (Nash-Moser Inverse Function Theorem [12]) *Let* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $\mathcal{G}$ *be tame Fréchet spaces, and* $f : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ *a smooth tame map. Suppose that*

1. *The derivative* $Df(x) : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ *is a linear isomorphism for all* $x \in \mathcal{U}$

2. *The map* $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ *given by*

$$(x, v) \longrightarrow (Df(x))^{-1}(v)$$

is a smooth tame map. Then, f *is locally invertible and each local inverse* f^{-1} *is a smooth tame map.*

As shown in [12], if M is a compact manifold, and V is a vector bundle over M, then the space $C^{\infty}(M, V)$ of smooth sections of V is in fact a tame space. Different tamely equivalent gradings can be chosen, but usually either Sobolev or supremum norms are used. Moreover, suppose P is a non-linear (smooth) vector bundle differential operator, then it is also shown in

[12] that it is in fact a smooth tame map. Hence Theorem 6.2 is very useful for the study of non-linear PDEs since it provides sufficient conditions for local existence of solutions.

Consider now tame spaces of time-dependent sections of vector bundles, as introduce in [13]. Let $u \in C^{\infty}([0,T] \times M, V)$ be a time dependent section of the vector bundle V over M. Define

$$|u|_n^2 = \int_0^T |u(t)|_{H^n}^2 \, dt \tag{6.10}$$

where $|\cdot|_{H^n}$ is the Sobolev L_2 norm of u and its covariant derivatives up to degree n. Hence $|u|_n$ only takes into account space derivatives. Now, define

$$\|u\|_n = \sum_{2j \leq n} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j u \right|_{n-2j}. \tag{6.11}$$

This is a weighted norm counting one time derivative equal to two space derivatives. In particular, the grading (6.11) makes $C^{\infty}([0,T] \times M, V)$ a tame space. We can also define a tamely equivalent grading $|[\cdot]|_n$ given by

$$|[u]|_n = \sum_{2j \leq n} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j u \right]_{n-2j} \tag{6.12}$$

where $[u]_n$ is the supremum norm of u and its space derivatives up to degree n.

Since we are interested in the flow of exact forms (6.5), we introduce the set

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \chi \in dC^{\infty}\left([0,T] \times M, \Lambda^3(M)\right) : \psi_0 + \chi \ \text{ is a definite 4-form} \right\}. \tag{6.13}$$

This is an open set of the space $\mathcal{F}$ of time-dependent exact 4-forms on M:

$$\mathcal{F} = dC^{\infty}\left([0,T] \times M, \Lambda^3(M)\right) \tag{6.14}$$

Also define the space $\mathcal{G}$ of time-independent exact 4-forms:

$$\mathcal{G} = dC^{\infty}\left(M, \Lambda^3(M)\right) \tag{6.15}$$

Now let

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$$

and consider the map

$$F : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

given by

$$\chi \longrightarrow \left(\frac{d}{dt}\chi - \Delta_{\psi}\psi - 2d\left((A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\psi}) *_{\psi} \psi\right) - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi, \, \chi|_{t=0} \right). \tag{6.16}$$

Here $\chi = \psi - \psi_0$. Note that in [4] exactly the same spaces considered for exact 3-forms rather than 4-forms, and the map involved the Laplacian flow operator for the 3-form φ. In [4, Proposition 4.2] it was shown that the spaces $dC^{\infty}\left([0,T] \times M, \Lambda^2(M)\right)$, $dC^{\infty}\left(M, \Lambda^2(M)\right)$ and hence their product are tame. However exactly the same proof applies to exact 3-forms. Thus we have

Proposition 6.3 ([4]) *The space $\mathcal{F}$ is a tame Fréchet space with the grading $\|\cdot\|_n$ restricted from $C^\infty\left([0,T]\times M,\Lambda^3(M)\right)$. The space $\mathcal{G}$ is a tame Fréchet space with the grading $|\cdot|_n$ and the product $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{G}$ is a tame Fréchet space with the grading $\|\cdot\|_n+|\cdot|_n$.*

The map F is a smooth differential operator, so it is a tame map. Now to apply the Nash-Moser Theorem to the map F (6.16) we thus need to show that the derivative $DF(\chi):\mathcal{F}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$ is an isomorphism for all $\chi\in\mathcal{U}$, and moreover that its inverse is smooth tame. Again, the proofs of these facts are almost exactly the same as in [4, Proposition 4.2].

Lemma 6.4 ([4, Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4]) *Given the map F (6.16), its derivative*

$$DF(\chi):\mathcal{F}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$$

is an isomorphism for all $\chi\in\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. From Theorem 5.1, we get that for closed θ, the derivative of the map F is given by

$$DF(\chi)\theta=\left(\frac{d}{dt}\theta+\Delta_\psi\theta+d\hat{F}(\theta),\theta|_{t=0}\right) \tag{6.17}$$

where $\psi=\psi_0+\chi$. To show injectivity we need to show that the initial value problem for a closed 4-form θ

$$\begin{cases}\frac{d}{dt}\theta+\Delta_\psi\theta+d\hat{F}(\theta)=0\\ \theta|_{t=0}=0\end{cases} \tag{6.18}$$

has a unique solution $\theta=0$. However this is a linear parabolic PDE, and it thus $\theta=0$ is the unique solution.

To show surjectivity, we have to show that for any time-dependent exact 4-form η and any exact 4-form θ_0 there is a time-dependent 3-form θ which satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\frac{d}{dt}\theta+\Delta_\psi\theta+d\hat{F}(\theta)=\eta\\ \theta|_{t=0}=\theta_0\end{cases} \tag{6.19}$$

Since θ and η are all exact, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\theta&=d\alpha\\ \eta&=d\beta\end{aligned}$$

for time-dependent 3-forms α and β. Correspondingly, $\theta_0=d\beta_0$. Now consider the following initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases}\frac{d}{dt}\alpha+\Delta_\psi\alpha+\hat{F}(d\alpha)=\beta\\ \beta|_{t=0}=\beta_0\end{cases} \tag{6.20}$$

From standard parabolic theory, there exists a unique solution $\beta(t)$. Hence $\theta=d\beta$ solves (6.19). ■

Now that we have that $DF(\chi)$ is an isomorphism for each $\chi\in\mathcal{U}$, we can define the family of inverse maps

$$\begin{aligned}(DF)^{-1}&:&\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{H}\longrightarrow\mathcal{F}\\ (\chi,\theta,\theta_0)&\longrightarrow&(DF(\chi))^{-1}(\theta,\theta_0)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.5 *The map* $(DF)^{-1} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ *is smooth tame.*

Proof. From (6.4) we know that $\theta = (DF)^{-1}(\chi, \eta, \theta_0)$ is the unique solution to the linear parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\theta + \Delta_\psi \theta + d\hat{F}(\theta) = \eta \\ \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_0 \end{cases} \tag{6.21}$$

with $\psi = \psi_0 + \chi$. It then follows from standard linear parabolic theory that $(DF)^{-1}$ is smooth tame. For details we refer the reader to [4, Lemma 4.7], where exactly the same result is proven for 3-forms. It applies in the same way to 4-forms. ■

Now we can apply the Nash Moser theorem to the map F.

Lemma 6.6 ([4, Lemma 0.2]) *Suppose* $\chi \in \mathcal{U}$ *is a solution to*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\chi - \Delta_\psi \psi - 2d\left((A - \operatorname{Tr} T_\psi) *_\psi \psi\right) - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi = \eta \\ \chi|_{t=0} = \chi_0 \end{cases} \tag{6.22}$$

Then for $(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\chi}_0) \in \mathcal{H}$ *sufficiently close to* (η, χ_0)*, there is a unique solution* $\bar{\chi}(t)$ *to the system*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\bar{\chi} - \Delta_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{\psi} - 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\bar{\psi}}\right) *_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{\psi}\right) - \mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{\chi})}\bar{\psi} = \bar{\eta} \\ \bar{\chi}|_{t=0} = \bar{\chi}_0 \end{cases} \tag{6.23}$$

where $\bar{\psi} = \psi_0 + \bar{\chi}$.

Proof. The derivative DF of the map F (6.16) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6.2, and hence F itself is locally invertible. Therefore, given $(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\chi}_0) \in \mathcal{H}$ sufficiently close to (η, χ_0), there exists a solution $\bar{\chi} = F^{-1}(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\chi}_0)$. ■

It is now straightforward to obtain short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions to the gauge-fixed flow (6.5).

Corollary 6.7 *The initial value problem (6.5) has a unique solution for the time period* $[0, \varepsilon]$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Here we apply the same method as used in [4], and originally by Hamilton in [13]. Let $\chi(t)$ be a family of 4-forms such that its formal Taylor series at $t = 0$ is what it must be to solve (6.5) with $\chi(0) = \chi_0$. This can be done by differentiating through the flow equation (6.5) and solving for $\left.\frac{d^k\chi}{dt^k}\right|_{t=0}$. Then let

$$\eta(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\chi - \Delta_\psi \psi - 2d\left((A - \operatorname{Tr} T_\psi) *_\psi \psi\right) - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi \tag{6.24}$$

where $\psi = \psi_0 + \chi$. It follows that the formal Taylor series of η at $t = 0$ is identically zero. By translating η a little in the t direction, we get a 3-form $\bar{\eta}(t)$ which is arbitrarily close to $\eta(t)$ and which vanishes for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by Lemma 6.6, there exists a unique solution $\chi(t)$ to the system (6.23). However, since $\bar{\eta}$ vanishes up to time ε, we get a unique solution to (6.5) for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$. ■

Following the [4], to prove short-time existence and uniqueness for the initial value problem (6.3), we need to relate (6.5) and (6.3) via diffeomorphisms. Let $\psi(t)$ be a family of G_2-structure 4-forms, and $\phi(t)$ a family of diffeomorphisms defined by the evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\phi(t) = -V\left(\bar{\chi}_\phi(t)\right) \\ \phi|_{t=0} = Id \end{cases} \tag{6.25}$$

where $\bar{\chi}_\phi(t) = \left(\phi^{-1}\right)^*(\psi - \psi_0)$ and V is given by (6.4).

Lemma 6.8 *The flow (6.25) is strictly parabolic.*

Proof. We have to linearize (6.25). Suppose

$$\left.\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} = U \tag{6.26}$$

for some vector field U. Then,

$$\left.\frac{\partial\phi^{-1}}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} = -\left(\phi^{-1}\right)_* U \tag{6.27}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left.\frac{\partial\left(\phi^{-1}\right)^*\psi}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} &= \left(\phi^{-1}\right)_* \mathcal{L}_{-\left(\phi^{-1}\right)_* U}\psi \\ &= -\mathcal{L}_U\left(\phi^{-1}\right)^*\psi \end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Now, define $\bar{\psi} = \left(\phi^{-1}\right)^*\psi$. This defines now a new G_2-structure. So we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial\bar{\psi}}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} = -\mathcal{L}_U\bar{\psi} \tag{6.29}$$

So in the right hand side of (6.25), $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi}_0$ and whence,

$$-V(\bar{\chi}) = -\left(\frac{3}{4}\bar{\nabla}^a \operatorname{Tr} h + \bar{\nabla}_m X_n \bar{\varphi}^{mna}\right) \tag{6.30}$$

where everything is with respect to the G_2-structure $\bar{\psi}$ and $\bar{\chi}$ is given by

$$\bar{\chi} = *\left(X \lrcorner \bar{\psi} + 3\mathrm{i}_{\bar{\varphi}}(h)\right).$$

Hence

$$-\left.\frac{\partial V(\bar{\chi})}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left.\left(\frac{3}{4}\bar{\nabla}^a \operatorname{Tr} h + \bar{\nabla}_m X_n \bar{\varphi}^{mna}\right)\right|_{s=0}$$

From Proposition 2.3, we get the type decomposition of $-\mathcal{L}_U\bar{\psi} = -d\left(U \lrcorner \bar{\psi}\right) - U \lrcorner d\bar{\psi}$:

$$\pi_7\left(-\mathcal{L}_U\bar{\psi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\nabla}_m U_n \bar{\varphi}^{mn}{}_a\right) \wedge \bar{\varphi} + l.o.t. \tag{6.31}$$

$$\pi_{1\oplus 27}\left(-\mathcal{L}_U\bar{\psi}\right) = 3 * \mathrm{i}_\varphi\left(\bar{\nabla}_{(m}U_{n)} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\nabla}^e \bar{U}_e \bar{g}_{mn}\right) + l.o.t. \tag{6.32}$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{\nabla}^{a}\operatorname{Tr}h\right)}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} &= -\frac{4}{3}\bar{\nabla}^{a}\bar{\nabla}^{b}U_{b}+l.o.t. \\
\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{\nabla}_{m}X_{n}\bar{\varphi}^{mna}\right)}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}_{m}\bar{\nabla}_{p}U_{q}\bar{\varphi}^{pq}{}_{n}\bar{\varphi}^{mna}+l.o.t. \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}U^{a}+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}^{a}\bar{\nabla}^{b}U_{b}+l.o.t.
\end{aligned}
$$

Whence, the linearization $D_{\phi}V$ of V at ϕ is given by

$$
\left(D_{\phi}V\right)(U)=\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}U^{a}+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}^{a}\bar{\nabla}^{b}U_{b}+l.o.t. \tag{6.33}
$$

Given some vector field ξ, the principal symbol is now

$$
\sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\phi}V\right)(U)=\frac{1}{2}\left|\xi\right|^{2}U^{a}+\frac{1}{2}\xi^{a}\left\langle \xi,U\right\rangle
$$

and hence

$$
\left\langle \sigma_{\xi}\left(D_{\phi}V\right)(U),U\right\rangle =\frac{1}{2}\left|\xi\right|^{2}\left|U\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle \xi,U\right\rangle^{2}\geq0
$$

So indeed, the flow (6.25) is indeed strictly parabolic. ■

Now are finally ready to prove the short-time existence and uniqueness for the initial value problem (6.1). The proof is again modelled on [4].

Theorem 6.9 *The initial value problem (6.1) has a unique solution for $t\in[0,\varepsilon]$.*

Proof. From Corollary 6.7, we have a short-time solution $\bar{\chi}=\bar{\psi}-\psi_{0}$ for (6.5). In (6.25) let $\bar{\chi}_{\phi}(t)=\bar{\chi}(t)$, so that it now becomes an ODE, since $\bar{\chi}(t)$ is now a fixed family of 4-forms, independent of the diffeomorphisms ϕ_{t}:

$$
\begin{cases}
\frac{d}{dt}\phi(t)=-V\left(\bar{\chi}(t)\right)\\
\left.\phi\right|_{t=0}=Id
\end{cases} \tag{6.34}
$$

The ODE (6.34) has a unique solution ϕ_{t}. Now let

$$
\psi(t)=\left(\phi_{t}\right)^{*}\bar{\psi}(t). \tag{6.35}
$$

Since $\phi_{0}=Id$,

$$
\psi(0)=\psi_{0}.
$$

Also,

$$
d\psi(t)=d\left(\left(\phi_{t}\right)^{*}\bar{\psi}(t)\right)=\left(\phi_{t}\right)^{*}d\bar{\psi}(t)=0
$$

since diffeomorphisms commute with d and $d\bar{\psi}(t)=0$. Now let us show that $\psi(t)$ satisfies the flow equation (6.1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(\left(\phi_{t}\right)^{*}\bar{\psi}(t)\right)\\
&= \left(\phi_{t}\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{-V(\bar{\chi})}\bar{\psi}(t)\right)+\left(\phi_{t}\right)^{*}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\bar{\psi}(t)\right) \qquad (6.36)
\end{aligned}
$$

6.25where we have used (6.34). From (6.5), $\bar{\psi}(t)$ satisfies the flow equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bar{\psi}(t) = \Delta_{\bar{\psi}}\bar{\psi} + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\bar{\psi}}\right) *_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{\psi}\right) + \mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{X})}\bar{\psi}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_t)^*\left(\frac{d}{dt}\bar{\psi}(t)\right) &= \Delta_{\phi_t^*\bar{\psi}}\left(\phi_t^*\bar{\psi}\right) + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\phi_t^*\bar{\psi}}\right) *_{\phi_t^*\bar{\psi}} \left(\phi_t^*\bar{\psi}\right)\right) + (\phi_t)^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{X})}\bar{\psi}\right) \\ &= \Delta_{\psi}\psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\psi}\right) *_{\psi} \psi\right) + (\phi_t)^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{X})}\bar{\psi}\right)\end{aligned}$$

So overall, from (6.36) we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = \Delta_{\psi}\psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\psi}\right) *_{\psi} \psi\right).$$

Thus indeed, $\psi(t)$ solves the system (6.1) for a short time $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$.

Now let us prove uniqueness. Suppose ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two solutions of (6.1), and let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be corresponding solutions of (6.25). For $i = 1, 2$ define

$$\bar{\psi}_i = \left(\phi_i^{-1}\right)^* \psi_i. \tag{6.37}$$

Both $\bar{\psi}_i$ are clearly closed, and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\bar{\psi}_i(t) &= \left(\phi_i^{-1}\right)^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{-\left(\phi_i^{-1}\right)_* V(\bar{X}_i)}\psi_i(t)\right) + \left(\phi_i^{-1}\right)^*\left(\frac{d}{dt}\psi_i(t)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{X}_i)}\left(\left(\phi_i^{-1}\right)^*\psi_i\right) + \left(\phi_i^{-1}\right)^*\left(\Delta_{\psi_i}\psi_i + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\psi_i}\right) *_{\psi_i} \psi_i\right)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{V(\bar{X}_i)}\bar{\psi}_i + \Delta_{\bar{\psi}_i}\bar{\psi}_i + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\bar{\psi}_i}\right) *_{\bar{\psi}_i} \bar{\psi}_i\right)\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

This is precisely the flow equation (6.5). Now, $\phi_i(0) = Id$, and $\psi_i(0) = \psi_0$, so $\bar{\psi}_i$ have same initial conditions. However, from Corollary 6.7, we know that the system (6.5) has a unique solution, whence $\bar{\psi}_1 = \bar{\psi}_2$. Then, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 satisfy the same ODE (6.34) with the same initial conditions, so are also equal. Therefore, $\psi_1 = \psi_2$. ■

7 Concluding remarks

We have thus found a modified Laplacian coflow of co-closed G_2-structures given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = \Delta_{\psi}\psi + 2d\left(\left(A - \operatorname{Tr} T_{\psi}\right) *_{\psi} \psi\right)$$

for a family of closed 4-forms $\psi(t)$ and a constant A. Given an initial condition $\psi(0) = \psi_0$ this flow was found to have a unique short-time existence. Moreover, if along the flow $\operatorname{Tr} T_{\psi}$ remains non-negative and less than or equal to $\frac{4}{3}A$, the volume functional V (3.14) increases monotonically. An alternative necessary and sufficient condition for this is a bound for the total scalar curvature, in terms of $\operatorname{Tr} T_{\psi}$:

$$\int_M R\,\mathrm{vol} \leq 2\int_M \operatorname{Tr} T\left(2A - \operatorname{Tr} T\right)\mathrm{vol}$$

Now the question remains whether in fact such flows do have long-term existence and whether indeed the above condition is satisfied along those solutions. It is likely that to prove long-term existence of solutions, the method used by Xu and Ye in [22] for Laplacian flow of φ could be adapted in this scenario. This will be the subject of further study. Another interesting question is whether given solutions of the modified flow (6.1) one can find solutions of the original Laplacian co-flow of ψ (1.3). The answers to these questions should lead to a better understanding of the relationships between different torsion classes of G_2-structures, and torsion-free G_2-structures, in particular.

References

[1] J. Baez, *The Octonions*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **39** (2002) 145–205.

[2] R. L. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **126** (1987), no. 3 525–576.

[3] R. L. Bryant, *Some remarks on G_2-structures*, in *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005*, pp. 75–109, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2006. `math/0305124`.

[4] R. L. Bryant and F. Xu, *Laplacian Flow for Closed G_2-Structures: Short Time Behavior*, `1101.2004`.

[5] R. Cleyton and S. Ivanov, *Conformal equivalence between certain geometries in dimension 6 and 7*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 4 631–640 [`math/0607487`].

[6] D. M. DeTurck, *Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors*, *J. Differential Geom.* **18** (1983), no. 1 157–162.

[7] M. Fernández and A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **132** (1982) 19–45 (1983).

[8] S. Grigorian, *Moduli spaces of G2 manifolds*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **22** (2010), no. 9 1061–1097 [`0911.2185`].

[9] S. Grigorian, *Deformations of G2-structures with torsion*, `1108.2465`.

[10] S. Grigorian, *G2-structure deformations and warped products*, `1110.4594`.

[11] S. Grigorian and S.-T. Yau, *Local geometry of the G2 moduli space*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **287** (2009) 459–488 [`0802.0723`].

[12] R. S. Hamilton, *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7** (1982), no. 1 65–222.

[13] R. S. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), no. 2 255–306.

[14] N. J. Hitchin, *The geometry of three-forms in six and seven dimensions*, *J. Differential Geom.* **55** (2000), no. 3 547–576 [`math/0010054`].

[15] N. J. Hitchin, *The geometry of three-forms in six dimensions*, *J. Differential Geom.* **55** (2000), no. 3 547–576 [`math/0010054`].

[16] D. D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, 2000.

[17] S. Karigiannis, *Deformations of G_2 and Spin(7) Structures on Manifolds*, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **57** (2005) 1012 [`math/0301218`].

[18] S. Karigiannis, *Flows of G_2-Structures, I*, *Q. J. Math.* **60** (2009), no. 4 487–522 [`math/0702077`].

[19] S. Karigiannis, B. McKay and M.-P. Tsui, *Soliton solutions for the Laplacian coflow of some G_2-structures with symmetry*, `1108.2192`.

[20] H. Weiss and F. Witt, *A heat flow for special metrics*, `0912.0421`.

[21] H. Weiss and F. Witt, *Energy functionals and soliton equations for G_2-forms*, `1201.1208`.

[22] F. Xu and R. Ye, *Existence, Convergence and Limit Map of the Laplacian Flow*, `0912.0074`.